

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/733,611	12/11/2003	Edgardo Anzures	51819	8541	
75	90 10/13/2005		EXAMINER		
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			LEE, SIN J		
P.O. Box 9169	2200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Boston, MA 02209			1752	TALER NOWBER	
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/733,611	ANZURES ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sin J. Lee	1752	

	Oil J. Lee	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of			
b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th in SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or	e final rejection, whicheve f the final rejection.	er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on was been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action: or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b</li> </ol>	(tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•	,	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>	but prior to the date of filing a briensideration and/or search (see NO	f, will <u>not</u> be entered l TE below):	oecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			•
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b)      wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	<u>ot</u> be entered s necessary
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:	· · ·	Sin J. Lu	
		Sin J. Lee	
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' statements of common ownership cannot overcome present 102(e) rejection over Barr'123 and present 102(e) rejection over Barr'027 because those rejections are 102(e) rejections, not 103(a) rejections.